HUMANUS DISCOURSE Vol. 6. NO 4. 2026
ISSN 2787-0308 (ONLINE)

Digital Democracy in Nigeria: Social Media, Civic Engagement, and Political Transformation

Odibe, Ogah Matthew[1]
Department of political science,
Federal University, Wukari,
Taraba State, Nigeria

Tyongo Matthew Terwase[2]
College of Education Katsina-Ala
Benue State, Nigeria.

Abstract

Social media has become a transformative force in shaping political participation across Nigeria, redefining how citizens interact with governance, elections, and civic life. Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and WhatsApp have created unprecedented opportunities for rapid information exchange, mobilization, and accountability. This paper employs the Uses and Gratifications Theory (UGT) to analyze the motivations behind social media use in Nigerian politics and the outcomes it produces. The study finds that social media plays a critical role in pre-election mobilization, election monitoring, and post-election governance, while also serving as a tool for activism and citizen engagement. In Nigeria, where political apathy has historically undermined democratic consolidation, social media has invigorated participation, particularly among youth and diaspora communities. Citizens now use digital platforms not only to access information but also to express opinions, mobilize protests, and hold leaders accountable. Campaigns such as Bring Back Our Girls and movements like #EndSARS illustrate the capacity of social media to amplify voices and foster collective action. However, challenges remain: misinformation, disinformation, and unequal access to digital technologies threaten the integrity of online political discourse. The paper argues that while social media enhances democratic participation, its potential is constrained by socio-economic inequalities and weak media literacy. To maximize its benefits, Nigerian citizens must adopt responsible usage practices, while policymakers should implement strategies to reduce disparities in education, wealth, and access to technology. Ultimately, social media represents both an opportunity and a challenge for Nigeria's democratic development, offering new avenues for civic engagement while demanding critical evaluation of its risks.

Keywords: Social media, Political participation, Democracy, Uses and Gratifications Theory, Digital activism, Election monitoring.

Introduction

Political participation is a cornerstone of democracy, encompassing both active and passive involvement in governance. In Nigeria, where political apathy has

[1] email:. odibematty77@gmail.comPhone number. 08085871682
[2] email to tyomatt2025@gmail.com

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

historically undermined democratic consolidation, social media has emerged as a powerful corrective force. The internet has redefined communication globally, enabling citizens to access information instantly and engage in political discourse without traditional gatekeepers.[3]Nigeria's democratic journey since 1999 has been marked by both progress and setbacks. While voter turnout has fluctuated, the rise of social media has invigorated participation, particularly among youth.[4] During the 2015 and 2023 general elections, politicians, parties, and even the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) leveraged social media to connect with citizens.[5] Beyond elections, platforms have facilitated activism, such as the Bring Back Our Girls (BBOG) campaign and protests against fuel subsidy removal.[6]

Conceptual Perspectives

Social Media

Social media refers to digital platforms that enable users to create, share, and interact with content. Kaplan and Haenlein define it as "internet-based applications built on Web 2.0 foundations that allow the creation and exchange of user-generated content."[7] In Nigeria, platforms like Facebook and Twitter have become central to political communication, offering citizens tools to bypass traditional media and directly engage with leaders.

Political Participation

Political participation includes voting, campaigning, protesting, and civic engagement. Norman and Sidney describe it as activities aimed at influencing government officials and policies.[8] In Nigeria, participation ranges from gladiatorial (holding office) to spectatorial (voting, attending rallies).[9] Social media has expanded these forms, enabling digital activism, online petitions, and virtual protests.

Democracy

Democracy thrives on citizen involvement. Robert Dahl emphasizes popular control and political equality as its defining features.[10] In Nigeria, democracy has empowered citizens to challenge elite dominance, though inequalities in

[3]Theocharis, Yannis, and Will Lowe. *Information, Communication & Society* 19, no. 3 (2016): 1–15.
[4]Agu, C. F. "Democracy, Election and Political Participation in Nigeria: 1999–2011." *Journal of Policy and Development Studies* 9, no. 5 (2015).
[5]Erubami, A. J. "Public Perception of Social Media Contributions to Political Participation Processes in Delta State, Nigeria." *ACTA Universitatis Danubus* 14, no. 1 (2020).
[6]Ezeigbo, M. E. "Social Media and Youth Participation in 2023 General Elections in Nigeria." *International Journal of Public Administration* 3, no. 2 (2024).
[7]Kaplan, Andreas M., and Michael Haenlein. "Users of the World, Unite!" *Business Horizons* 53, no. 1 (2010).
[8]Norman, H. N., and Sidney Verba. *Handbook of Political Science*, vol. 4. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1975
[9]Simbine, A. T. "Political Participation in Nigeria." In Terkula and George-Genyi, *Political Behavior in Nigeria*.
[10]Dahl, Robert. *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1971.

wealth and education persist. Social media offers new avenues for democratic expression, but also risks amplifying misinformation.[11]

Theoretical Framework: Uses and Gratifications Theory

The Uses and Gratifications Theory (UGT) provides a valuable lens through which to understand the relationship between social media and political participation in Nigeria. Developed in the 1970s by Elihu Katz, Jay Blumler, and Michael Gurevitch, the theory emphasizes that audiences are not passive consumers of media but active participants who deliberately select media channels to satisfy specific needs.[12] This perspective shifts the focus from media effects to audience motivations, highlighting the agency of individuals in shaping their own media experiences.

UGT posits that people engage with media to fulfill a variety of needs, including information, entertainment, social interaction, and personal identity.[13] In the Nigerian context, social media platforms have become central to these processes, particularly in the political sphere. Citizens use platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and WhatsApp to stay informed about elections, governance, and policy debates.[14] The immediacy of social media allows users to access real-time updates from political parties, candidates, and electoral bodies, thereby satisfying their informational needs. This was evident during the 2015 and 2023 general elections, when the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) utilized social media to disseminate information about voting procedures and election results.[15]

Beyond information-seeking, social media also gratifies citizens' desire to express opinions and mobilize support. Nigerian youth, in particular, have used platforms to articulate grievances, organize protests, and demand accountability from leaders. The *#EndSARS* movement, which gained global attention in 2020, exemplifies how social media can serve as a tool for collective mobilization.[5] Citizens shared personal experiences of police brutality, coordinated demonstrations, and amplified their voices internationally, thereby transforming individual frustrations into a nationwide movement. This aligns with UGT's assertion that audiences actively use media to achieve social and political goals.

Social media further fulfills the need for connection and community. Nigerians often join online groups and forums where they interact with like-minded

[11]Ake, Claude. *Democracy and Development in Africa*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution, 1996.
[12]Katz, Elihu, Jay Blumler, and Michael Gurevitch, "Uses and Gratifications Research," *Public Opinion Quarterly* 37, no. 4 (1973): 509–523.
[13]Sangwang, cited in Meng-Hsiang et al., "Determinants of Continued Usage Towards Social Media," *Information Research* (2015).
[14]Theocharis, Yannis, and Will Lowe, *Information, Communication & Society* 19, no. 3 (2016).
[15]Erubami, A. J., "Public Perception of Social Media Contributions to Political Participation Processes in Delta State, Nigeria," *ACTA Universitatis Danubus* 14, no. 1 (2020).

individuals, discuss political issues, and build solidarity.[16] These digital communities provide a sense of belonging and empowerment, particularly for marginalized groups whose voices may be excluded from mainstream political discourse. For instance, diaspora Nigerians frequently participate in online debates, contributing perspectives that enrich domestic political conversations.[17]

A notable example of UGT in practice occurred during the 2011 presidential elections, when President Goodluck Jonathan announced his candidacy on Facebook. His page quickly attracted over 200,000 followers, demonstrating how social media gratified citizens' need for direct engagement with political leaders.[18]This case illustrates the interactive nature of social media, where citizens are not merely recipients of political messages but active participants in shaping political narratives.

Forms of Political Participation

Political participation in Nigeria can be categorized into three forms: gladiatorial, transitorial, and spectatorial.[19]These categories, developed by Simbine, provide a framework for understanding the varying levels of citizen involvement in politics.[20]**Gladiatorial participation** represents the highest level of political engagement, involving individuals who contest elections, hold office, or occupy influential positions within political parties. Such participants dedicate significant time and resources to politics, often becoming part of the political elite. Examples include governors, senators, and members of the House of Representatives. Their participation is intensive and professionalized, reflecting a deep commitment to shaping governance.[21]

Transitorial participation- occupies a middle ground, encompassing individuals who actively support candidates with the expectation of securing appointments or political favors. These participants are knowledgeable and politically engaged but may lack the resources or networks to contest elections themselves. Instead, they contribute to campaigns, mobilize support, and position themselves for future opportunities. This form of participation reflects

[16]Vaccari, Cristian, and Augusto Valeriani, *Outside the Bubble: Social Media and Political Participation in Western Democracies* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2021).
[17]Arthur, Charles, "Encouraging Political Participation in Africa," Institute for Security Studies, 2012.
[18]Okoro, N., and K. A. Nwafor, "Social Media and Political Participation in Nigeria during the 2011 General Elections," *Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences* 1, no. 3 (2013).
[19]Simbine, A. T., cited in Terkula and George-Genyi, *Political Participation and Political Behavior in Nigeria*.
[20]SiDahl, Robert. *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition.* New Haven: Yale University Press, 1971.
mbine, A. T., cited in Terkula and George-Genyi, *Political Participation and Political Behavior in Nigeria*.
[21]Agu, C. F. *Democracy, Election and Political Participation in Nigeria: 1999–2011.* Journal of Policy and Development Studies 9, no. 5 (2015).

the patronage-driven nature of Nigerian politics, where loyalty to political leaders can translate into appointments or benefits.[22]

Spectatorial participation - is the most common form, involving activities such as voting, attending rallies, displaying party symbols, and discussing politics with family and friends. This level of participation requires fewer resources and is accessible to the broader population. It reflects the everyday engagement of citizens who, while not deeply embedded in political structures, still contribute to the democratic process through their votes and opinions.[23]
Social media has blurred the boundaries between these categories. Citizens who may not contest elections or hold office can still wield significant influence by shaping political narratives online, mobilizing protests, or exposing misconduct.[24]

Through platforms like Twitter and Facebook, ordinary Nigerians mobilize protests, expose misconduct, and hold leaders accountable.[25] For example, during the *Bring Back Our Girls* campaign, citizens used social media to demand government action on the abduction of schoolgirls in Chibok.[11] Although many participants were spectatorial in traditional terms, their online activism elevated them to influential roles in the political process.Moreover, social media enables transitorial participants to amplify their support for candidates, creating digital networks that extend beyond physical campaign structures.

Online endorsements, hashtags, and viral content can significantly impact public opinion, thereby enhancing the visibility of candidates and their supporters. Gladiatorial participants, too, benefit from social media by directly engaging with constituents, bypassing traditional media, and crafting personalized narratives that resonate with voters.In essence, social media has democratized political participation, allowing citizens across all categories to engage meaningfully in governance. It has expanded the scope of participation beyond physical rallies and voting booths, creating a digital public sphere where voices are amplified, accountability is demanded, and democracy is reimagined.[26]

Recommendations

The findings of this study highlight both the opportunities and challenges that social media presents for political participation in Nigeria. To maximize its democratic potential while mitigating risks, several recommendations are proposed:

---

[22]Norman, H. N., and Sidney Verba. *Handbook of Political Science.* Vol. 4. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1975.
[23]Norman, H. N., and Sidney Verba. *Handbook of Political Science.* Vol. 4. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1975.
[24]Bello, S., and B. Kolawale-Ismail. "Social Media and Political Participation in Nigeria: A Theoretical Perspective." *Ebonyi State University Journal of Mass Communication* (2017).
[25] Ibid
[26]Ezeigbo, M. E., "Social Media and Youth Participation in 2023 General Elections in Nigeria," *International Journal of Public Administration* 3, no. 2 (2024).

First, it is essential to promote media literacy among citizens. In an era where information spreads rapidly across platforms, the ability to critically evaluate online content is indispensable. Media literacy equips individuals with the skills to identify misinformation, detect bias, and distinguish credible sources from unreliable ones.[27] Without such competencies, citizens remain vulnerable to manipulation, disinformation campaigns, and propaganda that can distort democratic processes. By integrating media literacy into educational curricula and community programs, Nigeria can foster a more discerning citizenry capable of engaging responsibly in political discourse.

Second, there must be a deliberate effort to encourage responsible usage of social media, supported by mechanisms that can curb the spread of harmful misinformation. While freedom of expression is a cornerstone of democracy, unchecked dissemination of falsehoods undermines trust in institutions and destabilizes governance.[2] Establishing regulatory frameworks that balance free speech with accountability is therefore crucial. These mechanisms should not be overly restrictive but should focus on transparency, fact-checking, and the promotion of ethical digital practices. Collaboration between government agencies, civil society, and technology companies can help create a safer online environment where political dialogue thrives without being poisoned by deceit.

Third, Nigeria should expand adult education initiatives to reduce digital exclusion. A significant portion of the population, particularly older citizens and those in rural areas, remain excluded from meaningful participation due to low literacy levels and limited digital skills.[28] Expanding adult education programs that incorporate digital training will empower these groups to engage with social media platforms effectively. Such inclusion is vital for ensuring that political participation is not restricted to urban elites or younger demographics but reflects the voices of all citizens.

Fourth, there is a pressing need to strengthen accountability of elected officials through transparent online communication. Social media offers a direct channel between leaders and constituents, bypassing traditional bureaucratic barriers.[29] When used responsibly, these platforms can enhance transparency, allowing citizens to monitor policies, projects, and governance outcomes in real time. Encouraging elected officials to maintain active, open, and verifiable communication channels will foster trust and reduce political apathy. Moreover, institutionalizing digital accountability measures—such as publishing government reports and updates online—can further reinforce democratic governance.

Finally, Nigeria must implement policies that reduce inequalities in wealth, education, and access to technology. Social media's potential to democratize participation is constrained by socio-economic disparities that prevent equal

[27]Vaccari, Cristian, and Augusto Valeriani, *Outside the Bubble: Social Media and Political Participation in Western Democracies* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2021).
[28]Allcott, Hunt, and Matthew Gentzkow, "Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election," *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 31, no. 3 (2017).
[29]Agu, C. F., "Democracy, Election and Political Participation in Nigeria: 1999–2011," *Journal of Policy and Development Studies* 9, no. 5 (2015).

engagement.[30] Citizens from marginalized communities often lack the resources to access digital platforms, thereby excluding them from political conversations. Addressing these inequalities requires comprehensive policies that expand internet infrastructure, subsidize access for disadvantaged groups, and invest in equitable education. By reducing these disparities, Nigeria can ensure that social media becomes a truly inclusive tool for democratic participation rather than a privilege reserved for the few.

These recommendations underscore the need for a holistic approach to harnessing social media's democratic potential. Promoting media literacy, encouraging responsible usage, expanding adult education, strengthening accountability, and reducing inequalities are interdependent strategies that collectively enhance political participation. If implemented effectively, they can transform social media from a contested space of misinformation into a vibrant arena of civic engagement, thereby deepening Nigeria's democratic culture.

Conclusion

Social media has undeniably reinvigorated Nigerian democracy by reducing political apathy, fostering accountability, and creating new avenues for citizen engagement. Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, and Instagram have transformed the way Nigerians access information, mobilize support, and interact with their leaders. Citizens are no longer confined to traditional forms of participation; instead, they actively shape political narratives, monitor elections, and demand transparency through digital spaces. This transformation has been particularly evident among youth and diaspora communities, whose voices have gained prominence in national debates.

The promise of social media is not without its challenges. The proliferation of misinformation and disinformation undermines trust in institutions and weakens the quality of democratic discourse. Similarly, socio-economic inequalities—such as disparities in education, wealth, and access to technology—limit the inclusiveness of digital participation. Without deliberate interventions, these obstacles risk entrenching divisions and excluding marginalized groups from the democratic process.

To sustain progress, Nigeria must invest in media literacy, equitable access to digital technologies, and responsible governance frameworks that balance freedom of expression with accountability. By addressing these challenges, social media can evolve into a truly democratic tool—one that empowers citizens, strengthens institutions, and deepens Nigeria's democratic culture for generations to come.

[30]Mano, Winston, and M. Ndlela, eds., *Social Media and Elections in Africa* (London: Routledge, 2020).

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me